Atmospheric Neutrinos and CP violation

D Indumathi*
The Institute of Mathematical Sciences, Chennai 600113, India, and Homi Bhabha National Institute, Mumbai 400 094, India

M V N Murthy†
The Institute of Mathematical Sciences, Chennai 600113, India

Lakshmi S. Mohan‡
Physical Research Laboratory, Ahmedabad, India

(Dated: February 1, 2017)

The leptonic CP (Dirac) phase δ_{CP} is now the most crucial unknown parameter in neutrino oscillation physics. While there are hints for a preferred quadrant for this parameter, its determination is dependent on the equally unknown mass ordering of the neutrinos. In this paper we show through a simple analytical analysis that this CP phase may be determined using low energy atmospheric neutrino data almost unambigiously, *independent* of the ordering of masses and almost independent of the angle θ_{23} octant ambiguities. While sensitivity is maximum when the neutrino and antineutrino samples can be distinguished, we also demonstrate this sensitivity through a comparison with present Super-Kamiokande data.

arXiv:1701.08997v1 [hep-ph] 31 Jan 2017

Atmospheric neutrino experiments[1], along with the solar neutrino experiments[2], reactor neutrino experiments[3] and short-baseline experiments with neutrinos produced in accelerators[4] in recent years have been successful in measuring many of the parameters responsible for the phenomenon of neutrino oscillations, namely the neutrino mixing angles and their mass squared differences. While experiments seem to rule out (at just 90%CL) a value of δ_{CP} in the first quadrant, [5], a precise determination of this parameter is plagued by degeneracy-related uncertainties. The main difficulty in measuring the CP phase is the degeneracy associated wth the (2–3) mass ordering. It could get even more complicated if there are sterile neutrinos. Nevertheless it is crucial to determine this parameter due to its implications for neutrino physics and cosmology [6].

In this paper we explore the possiblity of determining the CP phase using low energy atmospheric neutrinos where terms depending on the mass ordering may be averaged out thus removing the possible degeneracy with the hierarchy problem. We believe this has been pointed out for the first time here.

Our analysis at low energies shows an excess of electron-like events when $-180^\circ \leq \delta_{CP} \leq -90^\circ$ compared to the standard oscillation scenario of such events with the CP phase set to zero. This is in contrast to the muon-like events where no such excess is seen. This excess is consistent with observations made by the Super-Kamiokande atmospheric neutrino experiment [7] and is an important motivation for our study.

We first analytically illustrate how the CP phase can be extracted using low energy atmospheric neutrino data. We then numerically include the matter effects and compute the event rates exactly and compare them with the observations.

The three flavour vacuum oscillation probability of flavour α to β is given by

$$\begin{aligned} P_{\alpha\beta} &= \delta_{\alpha\beta} \\ &\quad - 4\sum_{i>j} Re[U_{\alpha i}U^*_{\beta i}U^*_{\alpha j}U_{\beta j}]\sin^2(1.27\Delta m^2_{ij}\ L/E) \\ &\quad \pm 2\sum_{i>j} Im[U_{\alpha i}U^*_{\beta i}U^*_{\alpha j}U_{\beta j}]\sin(2.54\Delta m^2_{ij}\ L/E), \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

where $(U_{\alpha j})$ is the mixing matrix with the CP phase included. The $\pm$ sign corresponds to neutrinos and antineutrinos respectively. The greek indices denote flavour and $i, j = 1, 2, 3$ denote the mass eigenstates. Here the mass squared differences $\Delta m^2_{ij} = m^2_i - m^2_j$ are given in eV^2, L in kms (ms) and E in GeV (MeV).

The survival probability has no imaginary part, while for transition probabilities ($\alpha \neq \beta$), the imaginary part changes sign with $P_{\alpha\beta} = \overline{P}_{\beta\alpha}$, the corresponding antineutrino probability.

When E is small, of the order of a few hundred MeV, the corresponding oscillatory terms average out whenever L/E is large compared to Δm^2_{ij}. Since $|\Delta m^2_{3j}| \sim 2.4 \times 10^{-3}$ eV^2 $\gg \Delta m^2_{21} \sim 7.6 \times 10^{-5}$ eV^2, $j = 1, 2$, this applies to the "atmospheric" terms:

$$1.27\Delta m^2_{3j}\ \frac{L}{E} \approx \pi \frac{(L/100)}{(E/0.1GeV)}\ , \tag{2}$$

rather than to the "solar terms:

$$1.27\Delta m^2_{21}\ \frac{L}{E} \approx \pi \frac{(L/3000)}{(E/0.1GeV)}\ . \tag{3}$$

It immediately follows that the event rates at these low energies and $L \gtrsim$ few 100 become independent of Δm^2_{32} and Δm^2_{31} and hence of their ordering. The solar mass-squared difference remains, but its sign and magnitude are well-known.

As has been discussed in the literature earlier (see, for example, Ref. [8]), the probabilities involving e and μ are linear

* indu@imsc.res.in
† murthy@imsc.res.in
‡ slakshmi@prl.res.in

in $\sin\delta_{CP}$ and $\cos\delta_{CP}$. The survival probabilities are independent of $\sin\delta_{CP}$ which occurs in the imaginary part of the transition probabilities. In addition, P_{ee} is independent of *both* $\sin\delta_{CP}$ and $\cos\delta_{CP}$ while $P_{\mu\mu}$ depends on $\cos\delta_{CP}$ and $\cos 2\delta_{CP}$. The transition probability $P_{e\mu}$ can be expressed as [8],

$$P_{e\mu} = A\cos\delta_{CP} + B\sin\delta_{CP} + C\,, \tag{4}$$

where the coefficients, A, B and C depend on θ_{12}, θ_{13}, θ_{23} (both $\sin 2\theta_{23}$ and $\sin\theta_{23}$; hence on its octant) and the two mass-squared differences. The Δm^2_{21} terms have been dropped in the final analysis in Ref. [8]; in contrast, here, we average out the oscillatory Δm^2_{31} and Δm^2_{32} terms instead and retain Δm^2_{21} terms alone as relevant to the chosen energy scale. Hence A,B, and C are known unambigiously, limited only by the known precision of the parameters. The only unknown is the CP-phase δ_{CP}.

In the presence of matter, the coefficients A, B and C are changed but the linear dependence on $\cos\delta_{CP}$ and $\sin\delta_{CP}$ remains unaltered.

While an asymmetry of the form

$$A_{CP} = \frac{P_{e\mu} - P_{\mu e}}{P_{e\mu} + P_{\mu e}} = -\frac{C}{A + B\cos\delta_{CP}}\sin\delta_{CP}\,, \tag{5}$$

can, in principle, help measure the CP phase, matters are not so simple in an actual experiment since we need to take into account the fluxes of the neutrinos and antineutrinos as well as their interaction cross sections. The energy and direction resolution of the detector also play an important role in the precision measurement of the CP-phase.

Hence we will now go on to a numerical study of the event rates where matter effects are included and no approximations are made; nevertheless we will show that the above conclusions are valid.

Present detectors, like Super-Kamioka, do not distinguish between neutrinos and antineutrinos, although some separation has recently been made possible from the topology of the event; see Ref. [9]. The rates for charged current (CC) electron events from electron-neutrino–nucleus interactions due to atmospheric neutrinos in a detector is a combination of contributions from both ν_e and $\overline{\nu}_e$:

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\mathrm{d}N_e}{\mathrm{d}p_e\mathrm{d}\cos\theta} &= (P_{ee}\Phi_e + P_{\mu e}\Phi_\mu)\frac{\mathrm{d}\sigma_{eA}}{\mathrm{d}p_e\mathrm{d}\cos\theta} \\ &+ (\overline{P}_{ee}\overline{\Phi}_e + \overline{P}_{\mu e}\overline{\Phi}_\mu)\frac{\mathrm{d}\overline{\sigma}_{eA}}{\mathrm{d}p_e\mathrm{d}\cos\theta}\,,\end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

where Φ and σ denote the relevant fluxes and cross-sections. Similarly the charged current muon-neutrino event rates are given by

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\mathrm{d}N_\mu}{\mathrm{d}p_\mu\mathrm{d}\cos\theta} &= (P_{\mu\mu}\Phi_\mu + P_{e\mu}\Phi_e)\frac{\mathrm{d}\sigma_{\mu A}}{\mathrm{d}p_\mu\mathrm{d}\cos\theta} \\ &+ (\overline{P}_{\mu\mu}\overline{\Phi}_\mu + \overline{P}_{e\mu}\overline{\Phi}_e)\frac{\mathrm{d}\overline{\sigma}_{\mu A}}{\mathrm{d}p_\mu\mathrm{d}\cos\theta}\,.\end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

The transition probabilities are responsible for the $\sin\delta_{CP}$ dependence. Since $\Phi_\mu \sim 2\Phi_e$ for atmospheric neutrinos, we expect the $\sin\delta_{CP}$ dependence to be larger in N_e rather than in N_μ events.

We use the NUANCE [10] neutrino generator to generate CC events in a hypothetical detector made of isoscalar nuclei with the Honda [11] neutrino fluxes. We use the central values of the mixing parameters as given in Ref. [12] and various values of θ_{13} and δ_{CP}. The resulting total event rates are plotted as a function of the momentum (magnitude) and direction (zenith angle) of the final state lepton produced. In this hypothetical detector, the reconstruction of both are assumed to be perfect, with 100% reconstruction efficiency.

Fig. 1 shows the total event rate (sum over ν and $\overline{\nu}$ events) for $\theta_{13} = 9^\circ$, $\theta_{23} = 45^\circ$, for normal and inverted mass ordering for a sample value of $\delta_{CP} = 0^\circ$. It is clearly seen that the rates are hierarchy independent even when no approximations are made and the matter effect is included; this is true for all values of δ_{CP} for the reasons discussed above.

We now focus attention on the parameter δ_{CP} of interest. Fig. 2 shows the dependence of ν_e and ν_μ events on this parameter. It can be seen that the electron-neutrino event rates are depleted (enhanced), especially at low energies, for $\delta_{CP} = 0$–90° (-180–-90°), while the opposite is true for muon-neutrinos (although with less significance). Also note that due to the steep energy dependence of the fluxes, the maximum events are at low energies. Also, this CP phase dependence occurs in almost all direction. Hence the uncertainty in the direction determination will not significantly affect the dependencies noticed here.

Although the antineutrino events have relatively smaller sensitivity, the dependence for $\delta_{CP} = 0, 180^\circ$ are swapped with respect to that of neutrino events while the dependence for $\delta_{CP} = \pm 90^\circ$ remains the same. Since anti-neutrino events are smaller in number due to the smaller cross-sections, a detector which cannot separate the neutrino and anti-neutrino events will have a dependence similar to that shown in Fig. 2 but with reduced sensitivty. Hence in principle the separation between neutrino and anti-neutrino events can distinguish the CP phase, although the smaller number of events in the anti-neutrino sector can make this a challenge.

A comment on the sensitivity to θ_{13} and θ_{23}. We find that the rates are independent of the octant of θ_{23} except at very low energies, $p_e \leq 250$ MeV, for the electron case. For the case of muon events, there is sensitivity (although small) to the octant upto larger energies, $p_\mu \sim 600$ MeV. As expected from the analytic expressions, the rates for both ν_e and ν_μ uniformly increase (decrease) with decrease (increase) in θ_{23} away from the maximal value, for all energy and angle bins. In contrast, the ν_e (ν_μ) rates decrease (increase) as θ_{13} increases; again, this is more pronounced at low energies, $p_l \lesssim 500$ MeV, and may serve to unentangle these effects.

The sensitivity to δ_{CP} is more at smaller lepton momenta; this can be seen in Fig. 3 where the events integrated over all zenith angles are plotted as a function of the final lepton momentum. Negative δ_{CP} values enhance the event rates substantially for $p_e < 500$ MeV. The effect is more subdued for muon neutrino events.

It is interesting to compare these results with the Super-Kamioka atmospheric neutrino data [7]. Since we have analysed the numerics with an "ideal" detector, we cannot make a one-to-one correspondence between our results and Super-



FIG. 1. Independence of $(\nu_e + \overline{\nu_e})$ events (L) and $(\nu_\mu + \overline{\nu_\mu})$ events (R) to the (2–3) mass ordering. Shown are results for normal and inverted hierarchy for sample values of $\theta_{13} = 9^\circ$ and $\delta_{CP} = 0^\circ$ as a function of the lepton energy.



FIG. 2. (L) CC ν_e events as as a function of p_e and $\cos\theta$ for $\theta_{13} = 9^\circ$ and different values of $\delta_{CP} = 0, 90, -90, \pm 180^\circ$. (R) Same for ν_μ events.



FIG. 3. Sum of $(\nu_e + \overline{\nu_e})$ events (L) and $(\nu_\mu + \overline{\nu_\mu})$ events (R) integrated over all zenith angles as a function of final lepton momentum for different values of $\delta_{CP} = 0, 90, -90, 180^\circ$ for $\theta_{13} = 9^\circ$ and normal hierarchy.

Kamioka data. In the case of the latter, the true angle will be significantly smeared, the momentum reconstruction will not be perfect, and the reconstruction efficency may also be small, especially at the low momenta of interest here. Nevertheless, it is curious that a choice of negative and large δ_{CP}, $-180^\circ \leq \delta_{CP} \leq -90^\circ$, will enhance the theory fits at low energies and perhaps improve the agreement with the Super-Kamiokande data extracted from Ref. [7] and shown in Fig. 4.



FIG. 4. Extract of relevant figures from Ref. [7] showing the excess events compared to theory best fits at low energies for electron data while the muon fits are more consistent with the fits.

In fact hints for such a value of δ_{CP} have also been discussed in other experiments as well [5] (Note that we have assumed $-180^\circ \leq \delta_{CP} \leq 180^\circ$ while other groups have used $0^\circ \leq \delta_{CP} \leq 360^\circ$; our results translate to a preference for δ_{CP} in the third or fourth quadrants while data disallow δ_{CP} in the first quadrant at 95%CL). While avoiding a direct comparison with the data, we highlight the importance of separating the hierarchy-independent low energy data and examining the δ_{CP} dependence in the Super-Kamioka analysis which can perhaps allow a determination of the latter. The collaboration's recent efforts [13] to separate neutrino and anti-neutrino events assumes even more importance in this context.

To summarise, we have shown in this paper that a hierarchy-independent estimate of the CP-phase δ_{CP} may be made using low energy atmospheric neutrino events such as those recorded at the Super-Kamiokande detector. An analytical result was obtained using single-scale dominance and validated using exact numerical results for neutrinos (and antineutrinos) with energies in the range of few hundred MeV. To the best of our knowledge, this has been pointed out here for the first time.

Furthermore, its effect is opposite between neutrinos and anti-neutrinos and also between electron and muon neutrinos (although the effect is more pronounced for electron neutrinos); hence such a determination is even more accurate if the detectors are capable of distinguishing between neutrino and anti-neutrino events. We note that high energy CC ν_τ interactions in the detector can give low energy electrons and muons from tau decay; these will be accompanied by large hadron activity and can be separated out.

The independence to hierarchy arises from the choice of low energy events. An analogous situation arises in the case of lunar neutrinos, that is, neutrinos produced by cosmic rays striking the moon's surface. Since the distance (path lengths involved) is large, one can scale the energy by the same factor, although the flux (and hence the event rates) is negligible.

In combination with higher energy data from present and future experiments which are sensitive to both the CP phase and the mass hierarchy, such a study can help pin down both the mass hierarchy and the elusive leptonic phase.

a. Acknowledgements : We are grateful to G Rajasekaran and Rahul Sinha for many discussions. We also thank the Journal Club at IMSc where this idea was first discussed, and T Kajita for helpful comments during the EILH workshop at Aligarh Muslim Unversity.

[1] Y. Fukuda *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **81**, 1562 (1998).
[2] J. N. Bahcall and R. Davis Jr., Science **191**, 264-267 (1976); Q. R. Ahmad *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **89**, 011301 (2002).
[3] T. Araki **et al.**, KamLAND Collab., Phys. Rev. Lett. **94**, 081801 (2005); F. P. An *et al.*, Daya Bay Collab., Phys. Rev. Lett. **115** (2015) 111802; F. P. An *et al.*, Daya Bay Collab., Phys. Rev. D **93** (2016) 072011; J. H. Choi *et al.*, RENO Collab., Phys. Rev. Lett. **116** (2016) 211801; Y. Abe *et al.*, Double Chooz Collab., JHEP **10** (2014) 086; Y. Abe *et al.*, Double Chooz Collab., JHEP **01** (2016) 163;
[4] K. Abe *et al.*, T2K Collab., Phys. Rev. D **91** (2015) 072010; Phys. Rev. Lett. **116** (2016) 181801; P. Adamson *et al.*, MINOS Collab., Phys. Rev. Lett. **112** (2014) 191801.
[5] P. Adamson it et al., NOvA Collab., Phys. Rev. Lett. **116**, 151806 (2016), arXiv: 1601.05022 [hep-ex]; P. Adamson *et al.*, MINOS Collab., Phys. Rev. Lett. **112**, 191801 (2014), arXiv:1403.0867 [hep-ex]; T2K Collab., http://t2k-experiment.org/2016/07/t2k-presents-first-cp-violation-search-result/.
[6] G. C. Branco, A. J. Buras, S. Jäger, S. Uhlig, and A. Weiler, JHEP 09 (2007) 004; [hep-ph/0609067]; A. Kartavtsev, P. Millington, H. Vogel, JHEP06 (2016) 066, arXiv:1601.03086 (hep-th).
[7] K. Okumura et al. The Super-Kamiokande Collab., Physics Procedia 61 (2015) 619 626.
[8] K. Kimura, A. Takamura, H. Yokomakura, Phys.Rev. **D 66** (2002) 073005, arXiv:hep-ph/0205295.
[9] Yoshitaka Itow, Nucl. Phys. (Proc. Suppl.) **B 235236** (2013) 7986.
[10] D. Casper, Nucl. Phys. Proc. Suppl. **112** (2002) 161. [hep-ph/0208030].
[11] T. K. Gaisser and M. Honda, Ann. Rev. Nucl. Part. Sci, **52** (2002) 153-199; M. Honda, T. Kajita, K. Kasahara, and S. Midorikawa, Phys. Rev. D **83**, 123001 (2011).
[12] `http://www.nu-fit.org/`
[13] E. Richard et al., The Super-Kamiokande Collab., Phys.Rev. D94 (2016) 052001; arXiv:1510.08127 [hep-ex].